

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(El Dorado)

----

In re JORDAN C., a Person Coming Under the  
Juvenile Court Law.

C077433

BRIAN J. RICHART, as Chief Probation Officer,  
etc.,

(Super. Ct. No.  
PDL20120133)

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JORDAN C.,

Defendant and Appellant.

Appointed counsel for minor JORDAN C. has asked this court to review the record to determine whether there exist any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*); *In re Kevin S.* (2003) 113 Cal.App.4th 97, 119 [*Wende* procedure applies to appeals in juvenile delinquency cases].) Finding no arguable error

that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant, we will affirm the juvenile court's orders.

### **BACKGROUND**

During a dispute with his parents, the minor damaged several items belonging to his parents. When deputies arrived, the minor pushed his mother and attempted to flee. The minor initially struggled and attempted to escape when deputies tried to detain him, but eventually complied and was taken into custody.

The minor admitted an allegation in a delinquency petition (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 602)<sup>1</sup> that he violated Penal Code section 594, subdivision (a) by damaging or destroying property. At a contested disposition hearing, the juvenile court found the minor a ward of the court, placed him on informal probation pursuant to section 725, subdivision (a), and released him to the custody of his parents subject to various terms and conditions.

On May 30, 2013, the probation department filed a petition pursuant to section 725 alleging the minor violated probation by testing positive numerous times for marijuana, possessing marijuana on school grounds, failing to attend school regularly and leaving school grounds during school hours without permission, failing to enroll in substance abuse counseling and anger management counseling as directed, failing to complete court-ordered community service, and failing to make payments toward a court-ordered fine. The minor admitted all but one of the alleged violations and was reinstated on probation.

On June 24, 2013, the probation department filed a second petition pursuant to section 725 alleging the minor violated probation by leaving home without his parents'

---

<sup>1</sup> Further undesignated statutory references are to the Welfare and Institutions Code.

permission, testing positive for marijuana, and absconding. The minor admitted three of four alleged violations.

On July 17, 2013, the People filed a second delinquency petition pursuant to section 602, subdivision (a) alleging the minor committed new misdemeanor offenses, including illegally discharging fireworks and purchasing or possessing tobacco or tobacco paraphernalia. The delinquency petition was later dismissed.

On August 21, 2014, the probation department filed a petition pursuant to section 777, subdivision (a) alleging the minor violated probation by, among other things, failing to knowingly abstain from the possession or use of any alcoholic beverage or illegal or intoxicating substance--in that he provided multiple urine samples which tested positive for marijuana--and failing to attend school and absconding.

The minor admitted seven of the allegations in the August 21, 2014, petition, and the remaining three allegations were dismissed. Following a contested disposition hearing, the court continued the minor as a ward of the juvenile court with a maximum term of confinement of one year, removed him from the physical custody of his parents, and placed him on probation for six months subject to various terms and conditions including completion of a 180-day residential program (206 days, with credit for 26 days served).

The minor filed a timely notice of appeal from the dispositional orders.

### **DISCUSSION**

Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests that we review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436.) Counsel advised the minor of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days have elapsed, and we have received no communication from the minor. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to the minor.

**DISPOSITION**

The orders of the juvenile court are affirmed.

DUARTE, J.

We concur:

RAYE, P. J.

ROBIE, J.